Clause (e) of question No. 381.—The Government have no information other than what is contained in the "Jamabandi Report" which is given below:—

	Estimated value of property sold.	Amount realized.
	RS.	RS.
Real property	22,950	12,569
Personal property	14,687	14,670

Imposition of penal faslijasti rates on inam lands granted for religious purposes.

* 652 *Q.*—Mr. M. D. T. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total extent of ayacut irrigated by the Tinnevelly channel within the Tinnevelly municipal limits at the time of the last settlement;

(*b*) the extent that has been classified as (i) single crop and (ii) double crop at the time of the last settlement;

(*c*) what extent of this area in each class has been taken up by—

(i) the South Indian Railway for permanent way extensions since the last settlement; and

(ii) by the municipality and other private interests for urban usage since the last settlement;

(*d*) what extent of the single crop areas under this ayacut have been converted into (i) double crop wet lands and (ii) given facilities for double crop wet cultivation;

(*e*) what the extent is of single crop land belonging to Sri Rajagopalaswami (inamdar) in this ayacut;

(*f*) whether it was specifically recognized in the settlement of 1874 that religious inam lands should not be charged penal faslijasti rates in the paragraph pertaining to inams of the descriptive memoir of the village of Melaviraraghavapuram, Tinnevelly taluk, Tinnevelly district;

(*g*) on whose initiative and authority was this specific provision relating to a religious inam changed in the new settlement register;

(*h*) whether it is a fact that the omission of the above provision was used as an excuse to penalize double crop cultivation on the lands belonging to Sri Rajagopalaswami temple;

(*i*) whether it is a fact that portions of the inam lands under the same patta are given facilities for double crop cultivation;

(*j*) if so, on what grounds were they given the facilities and why were lands situated lower down, and hence having a better claim, omitted;

(*k*) what the extent is of single crop land enjoying double crop facilities and what the extent is of land charged penal faslijasti rates belonging to Sri Rajagopalaswami temple; and

(*l*) what the principles are that govern the change of single to double crop land in an ayacut and whether the changes so far effected are in strict conformity with these principles?

A.—(*a*) to (*k*) The Government have not the information. A report has been called for.

(*l*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to paragraph 1 (4) of Board's Standing Order No. 1.

A report has been called for in regard to the latter portion of the question.

Levy of first-crop rate on second crop.

* 653 *Q.*—Mr. K. KESAVARAMAMURTI NAYUDU: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether in cases where first crop was grown and lost and consequently remission was granted and second crop is raised on the land, the Government have treated the second crop as first crop and the full rate of Rs. 10–8–0 is charged thereon; and

(*b*) if so, why?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The question does not indicate to what area it refers. It may refer to the Polavaram Island of East Godavari district. Information is being obtained as to the practice prevailing there.

Net income of the ryot on first crop raised under the Polavaram Island Project.

* 654 *Q.*—Mr. K. KESAVARAMAMURI NAYUDU: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state in cases where the full water-rate of Rs. 10–8–0 is charged on the first crop, the net income which the ryot gets after meeting the cultivation expenses, for different classes of lands—the best, middling and the poorest?

A.—The hon. Member presumably refers to the Polavaram Island Project. The Government have not the information.

Local Self-Government

*Alleged irregularity in the maintenance of the Minutes **Book** of the District Board, Salem.*

* 655 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDER: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether representations have been received from some of the Members of the Salem District Board in the matter of pasting type-written sheets in the minutes book in the place of recording resolutions by hand;

(*b*) whether a copy of the representation will be placed on the table;

(*c*) what orders have been passed in the matter;

(*d*) whether this order was modified or revised subsequently;

(*e*) if so, whether a copy of the revised order will be placed on the table; and

(*f*) what the reasons are for revising the order?